

HOUSE BILL 354: Civil Procedure/Gatekeeper Orders/Database.

2025-2026 General Assembly

Committee:	House Rules, Calendar, and Operations of the	Date:	April 2, 2025
Introduced by: Analysis of:	House Reps. Pyrtle, Miller, B. Jones, Greene Second Edition	Prepared by:	Brad Krehely Staff Attorney

OVERVIEW: House Bill 354 would provide that, subject to some exceptions, a person who has been adjudicated incompetent and has been prohibited from filing pleadings in court could only file a pleading with the court through the person's guardian or counsel. If the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) identified a person meeting these criteria, then the court would be required to strike any pleading that was not filed by the person's guardian or counsel and issue a gatekeeper order to prevent the person from executing filings on his or her own. The court would be required to withdraw the gatekeeper order if the person's competency has been restored. AOC would be required to maintain an updated database of all persons adjudicated incompetent.

CURRENT LAW: Rule 17 of the North Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure generally addresses when a guardian ad litem must be appointed to act on behalf of an incompetent person, a minor child, and unborn and unascertained persons in certain proceedings.

BILL ANALYSIS: House Bill 354 would amend Rule 17 of the North Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure to provide that a person who has been adjudicated incompetent and has been prohibited from filing pleadings in court could only file a pleading with the court through the person's guardian or counsel. If the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) identified a person meeting these criteria, then the court would be required to (i) strike any pleading that was not filed by the person's guardian or counsel and (ii) issue a gatekeeper order to prevent the person from executing filings on his or her own. A gatekeeper order would have to be served on the person's guardian and counsel.

The bill would not prohibit a person who has been adjudicated incompetent from filing any pleading without the assistance of that person's guardian or counsel in any of the following: (i) an action against that person's guardian or counsel; (ii) an action appealing the determination of being adjudicated incompetent; (iii) an action petitioning for modification of guardianship; (iv) an action to restore the person's competency; and (v) an action in which the person who has been adjudicated incompetent is sued. The court would be required to withdraw the gatekeeper order if the person's competency was restored.

The bill also would require AOC to develop a database of all persons adjudicated incompetent and ensure that the database is updated. The database would be have to be accessible to judges and the Clerks of Superior Court.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The act would become effective December 1, 2025, and would apply to actions and proceedings brought or pending on or after that date.

BACKGROUND: Judges are authorized to enter gatekeeper orders or prefiling injunctions that restrict a person from filing new actions or other papers without getting approval from the court first. *See generally, Estate of Dalenko v. Monroe,* 197 N.C. App. 231 (2009) (unpublished). Gatekeeper orders are

Kara McCraw Director



Legislative Analysis Division 919-733-2578

This bill analysis was prepared by the nonpartisan legislative staff for the use of legislators in their deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.

House Bill 354

Page 2

designed to address situations in which individuals make repeated or frivolous filings. These filings can burden the court system, cause needless expense to the parties, and lead to harassment of parties or courts.