



# HOUSE BILL 220: Lansing/Jefferson/Stokes Occ. Tax Auth.

2023-2024 General Assembly

---

<b>Committee:</b>	Senate Finance. If favorable, re-refer to Rules and Operations of the Senate	<b>Date:</b>	June 21, 2023
<b>Introduced by:</b>	Rep. Pickett	<b>Prepared by:</b>	Trina Griffin
<b>Analysis of:</b>	Third Edition		Staff Attorney

---

**OVERVIEW:** *House Bill 220 would authorize the Towns of Lansing and Jefferson to each levy a room occupancy tax of 3%. The bill would authorize Stokes County to levy a room occupancy tax of 6%. The proceeds must be remitted to the respective tourism development authority. At least two-thirds of the proceeds must be used to promote travel and tourism and the remainder must be used for tourism-related expenditures.*

**CURRENT LAW:** The Towns of Lansing and Jefferson are located in Ashe County, which has the authority to levy a 3% room occupancy tax.<sup>1</sup> Stokes County does not have the authority to levy a room occupancy tax, nor does any municipality within Stokes County.

**BILL ANALYSIS:** Part I of the bill to House Bill 220 would authorize the Town of Lansing to levy a 3% room occupancy tax. When the town adopts a resolution to levy the occupancy tax, they would also be required to adopt a resolution to create the Lansing Tourism Development Authority. The proceeds of the tax must be remitted to the Lansing Tourism Development Authority and at least two-thirds of the proceeds must be used for tourism promotion and the remainder for tourism-related expenditures.

Part II of the bill to House Bill 220 would authorize the Town of Jefferson to levy a 3% room occupancy tax. When the town adopts a resolution to levy the occupancy tax, they would also be required to adopt a resolution to create the Jefferson Tourism Development Authority. The proceeds of the tax must be remitted to the Jefferson Tourism Development Authority and at least two-thirds of the proceeds must be used for tourism promotion and the remainder for tourism-related expenditures.

Part III of the bill to House Bill 220 would authorize Stokes County to levy a 6% room occupancy tax. When the county adopts a resolution to levy the occupancy tax, they would also be required to adopt a resolution to create the Stokes County Tourism Development Authority. The proceeds of the tax must be remitted to the Stokes County Tourism Development Authority and at least two-thirds of the proceeds must be used for tourism promotion and the remainder for tourism-related expenditures.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This act would be effective when it becomes law.

**BACKGROUND:** In 1997, the General Assembly enacted uniform municipal and county administrative provisions for occupancy tax,<sup>2</sup> which provide uniformity among counties and cities with respect to the levy, administration, collection, repeal, and imposition of penalties. In 1993, the House Finance Committee established the Occupancy Tax Guidelines, which address the rate of tax, the use of the tax

---

<sup>1</sup> [S.L. 1991-163](#).

<sup>2</sup> G.S. 153A-155 and G.S. 160A-215.

Jeffrey Hudson  
Director



Legislative Analysis  
Division  
919-733-2578

# House Bill 220

Page 2

proceeds, the administration of the tax, and the body with authority to determine how the proceeds will be spent. A summary of these provisions is detailed in the chart below.

UNIFORM OCCUPANCY TAX PROVISIONS
<b>Rate</b> – The county tax rate cannot exceed 6% and the city tax rate, when combined with the county rate, cannot exceed 6%.
<b>Use</b> – Two-thirds of the proceeds must be used to promote travel and tourism and the remainder must be used for tourism-related expenditures.
<b>Definitions</b> The term "net proceeds", "promote travel and tourism", and "tourism-related expenditures" are defined terms.
<b>Administration</b> – The net revenues must be administered by a local tourism development authority that has the authority to determine how the tax proceeds will be used, is created by a local ordinance, and at least 1/2 of the members must be currently active in the promotion of travel and tourism in the county and 1/3 of the members must be affiliated with organizations that collect the tax.
<b>Costs of Collection</b> – The taxing authority may retain from the revenues its actual costs of collection, not to exceed 3% of the first \$500,000 collected each year plus 1% of the remainder collected each year.

*Nick Giddings, counsel to House Local Government, substantially contributed to this summary.*