

HOUSE BILL 27: In-Service Training/Magistrates.

2021-2022 General Assembly

Committee: August 31, 2021 Date: **Introduced by:** Rep. Clampitt Prepared by: Robert Ryan **Analysis of:** Fifth Edition

Staff Attorney

OVERVIEW: House Bill 27 would modify the training requirements for magistrates by requiring completion of an annual in-service training course, with a minimum of twelve hours of instruction.

CURRENT LAW:

G.S. 7A-177 requires a magistrate, within six months of taking the oath for the first time, to take a basic training course of at least 40 hours in the civil and criminal duties of their office. The only statutory requirement for continuing education courses is that the courses be provided at times and locations to ensure they are conveniently available to all magistrates throughout the State. However, the Conference of Chief District Court Judges currently requires that each magistrate complete at least 12 hours of continuing education each biennium.

BILL ANALYSIS: House Bill 27 would change the continuing education requirement to require every magistrate to complete an annual course, either in person or online, that meets the following criteria:

- Consists of at least 12 hours in the civil and criminal duties of a magistrate.
- Includes, but is not limited to, the following subjects:
 - Setting conditions of pretrial release.
 - Impaired driving laws.
 - Issuing criminal processes.
 - Issuing search warrants.
 - Technology.
 - Orders of protection.

The bill would authorize the AOC to coordinate with the UNC School of Government or other educational organization to conduct the training. The continuing education training is not required to be taken in the same calendar year as the 40-hour basic training course.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This act becomes effective when it becomes law.

*Amy Darden, Staff Attorney, substantially contributed to this summary.

Jeffrey Hudson Director



Legislative Analysis Division 919-733-2578

This bill analysis was prepared by the nonpartisan legislative staff for the use of legislators in their deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.