



HOUSE BILL 228: Modernize Laws Pertaining to NC Medical Board.

2019-2020 General Assembly

Committee:	House Finance. If favorable, re-refer to Rules, Calendar, and Operations of the House	Date:	June 5, 2019
Introduced by:	Rep. Murphy	Prepared by:	Greg Roney Staff Attorney
Analysis of:	PCS to Third Edition H228-CSTMf-14		

OVERVIEW: *The Proposed Committee Substitute (PCS) for House Bill 228 would modernize the laws governing the North Carolina Medical Board (Board) and the practice of medicine including:*

- *Authorizes the Board to collect a background check fee from applicants and to remit that fee to the Department of Public Safety (Finance provision).*
- *Create an affirmative duty for licensees to report suspected sexual misconduct, fraudulent prescribing, drug diversion, or theft to the Board.*
- *Require 130 weeks of medical education for a physician license.*
- *Increase civil penalties for practice outside the scope of various limited purpose licenses.*
- *Remove geographic limits on where the Board can meet (currently, Raleigh) and allow the Superior Court in the county where the Board is located to hear appeals of decisions not to issue a license and appeals of disciplinary action (currently, Wake County Superior Court).*
- *Clarify the Board's disciplinary authority including authority over applicants and inactive licenses.*
- *Authorize reasonable fees for copying medical records based on the actual cost of copying.*
- *Create a new criminal offense punishable as a Class C felony for sexual contact or penetration under pretext of medical treatment.*
- *Allow death certificates to be completed by any physician, physician assistant, or nurse practitioner who took reasonable efforts to determine the patient's cause of death.*
- *Remove the Board's authority to set fees by regulation and maintains fees set by regulation as of June 1, 2019.*

[As introduced, this bill was identical to S178, as introduced by Sen. Bishop, which is currently in Senate Rules and Operations of the Senate.]

CURRENT LAW: Current law is underlined in the Bill Analysis for each section of the bill.

BILL ANALYSIS:

Section 1 would add definitions for "licensee" and "inactive license" to G.S. 90-1.1. It would clarify that a license can enter an inactive status when a licensee requests inactive status, fails to register, voluntarily surrenders a license, or when the Medical Board issues a disciplinary order. Currently, "licensee" and "inactive license" are not statutorily defined.

Karen Cochrane-Brown
Director



H 2 2 8 - S M T M - 3 9 C S T M F - 1 4 - V - 4

Legislative Analysis
Division
919-733-2578

House PCS 228

Page 2

Section 2 would make a technical change to G.S. 90-2.

Section 3 would make technical changes to G.S. 90-3.

Section 4 would require the Board to meet at least once per quarter at any location in North Carolina and allow the Board to have additional meetings as necessary. Current law requires the Board to meet once annually in Raleigh and to remain in session until all eligible applicants have been examined.

Section 5 would delete the word "physicians" and substitute the word "licensees" in G.S. 90-5.1(a).

Section 6 would require licensees to report any graduate medical or osteopathic education to the Board. Current law only requires reporting of graduate education obtained at institutions accredited by certain accrediting agencies.

Section 7 would delete "physician or physician assistant" and substitute "applicant or licensee" in G.S. 90-5.3.

Section 8 would add a new section to Article 1 of Chapter 90 creating an affirmative duty for licensees to report suspected sexual misconduct, fraudulent prescribing, drug diversion, or theft to the Board. Licensees who failed to report such conduct would be subject to discipline, and any individuals who made reports in good faith would be immune from civil liability. Individuals who staff the North Carolina Physicians Health Program would not be mandatory reporters under the new section; they would continue to be governed by the Program's reporting requirements in G.S. 90-21.22. There is currently no statutory duty to report sexual misconduct, fraudulent prescribing, or drug diversion, misuse, or theft.

Section 9 would repeal the requirement that the Board's secretary give a bond for the safekeeping and proper payment of all money that comes into the secretary's hands.

Section 10 would amend G.S. 90-8.1 to clarify that once an individual submits an application to the Board, that individual is under the Board's jurisdiction.

Section 11 would amend G.S. 90-9.1 to clarify that applicants for a physician license must complete medical education that lasts at least 130 weeks. Applicants who are currently certified in a medical specialty recognized by the Board would also be eligible for licensure, provided they meet the education and examination requirements. Under current law, applicants must show they have graduated from an approved medical college and passed an exam acceptable to the Board to be licensed.

Section 12 would change the physician licensure requirements for graduates of international medical schools in G.S. 90-9.2. Under the new requirements, applicants would have to complete two years of training or provide proof of certification by a specialty board recognized by the Board, as well as pass an exam acceptable to the Board. Under current law, international graduates must complete three years of training, but cannot use proof of specialist certification as a basis for licensure. Passing an exam is not required.

Section 13 would clarify that applicants are eligible for licensure as physician assistants if they complete an education program accredited by the Accreditation Review Commission on Education for the Physician Assistant and are certified by the National Commission on Certification of Physician Assistants or its successor entities. Currently, the education program must be accredited by the Committee on Allied Health Education and Accreditation and the certification cannot be issued by a successor entity.

Section 14 would amend G.S. 90-9.4 to remove the requirement that anesthesiologist assistant applicants must pass an exam administered by the National Commission of Certification on Anesthesiologist Assistants in order to be licensed. The requirement that they be certified by the Commission would remain, and passing an examination is a prerequisite to certification.

House PCS 228

Page 3

Section 15 would add a new section to Article 1 of Chapter 90 stating that the Board retains jurisdiction over inactive licenses, regardless of how they become inactive. It would also permit the Board to retain jurisdiction over the holder of an inactive license for all matters known or unknown to the Board at the time of inactivation of the license.

Section 16 would repeal the provision of G.S. 90-10.1 allowing the Board to accept a licensing exam administered by the medical board of another state for licensure of physicians in North Carolina. The United States Medical Licensing Exam, which is accepted in most states, will continue to be accepted by the Board.

Section 17 would allow the Board to collect a background check fee from applicants and to remit that fee to the Department of Public Safety.

Section 18 would amend G.S. 90-12.01 to require directors of graduate medical education programs to report to the Board any adverse actions taken against, and resignations made by, physicians in medical education training programs.

Section 19 would change the maximum fine for individuals who practice outside the scope of their limited volunteer licenses to \$500. The current fine is \$25-\$50. Practicing outside the scope of a limited volunteer license would continue to be a Class 3 criminal misdemeanor.

Section 20 would change the maximum fine for individuals who practice outside the scope of their retired limited volunteer licenses to \$500. The current fine is \$25-\$50. Practicing outside the scope of a retired limited volunteer license would continue to be a Class 3 criminal misdemeanor.

Section 21 would change the maximum fine for individuals who practice outside the scope of their special purpose licenses to \$500. The current fine is \$25-\$50. Practicing outside the scope of a special purpose license would continue to be a Class 3 criminal misdemeanor.

Section 22 would change the maximum fine for individuals who practice outside the scope of their medical school faculty licenses to \$500. It would also amend G.S. 90-12.3 to automatically inactivate a medical school faculty license if the licensee ceased to hold a full-time faculty position, ceased to be employed full-time in a North Carolina medical school, or obtained any other license to practice medicine issued by the Board. The current fine is \$25-\$50. Practicing outside the scope of a medical school faculty license would continue to be a Class 3 criminal misdemeanor.

Section 23 would change the maximum fine for individuals who practice outside the scope of their physician assistant limited volunteer licenses to \$500. The current fine is \$25-\$50. Practicing outside the scope of a physician assistant limited volunteer license would continue to be a Class 3 criminal misdemeanor.

Section 24 would change the maximum fine for individuals who practice outside the scope of their physician assistant retired limited volunteer licenses to \$500. The current fine is \$25-\$50. Practicing outside the scope of a physician assistant retired limited volunteer license would continue to be a Class 3 criminal misdemeanor.

Section 25 would modernize the language in G.S. 90 13.2.

Section 26 would amend G.S. 90-14, which deals with the discipline authority of the Board. Under the new provisions:

- Physician assistants could provide physical or mental health examinations of licensees, and licensed mental health professionals could conduct mental health examinations of licensees. Currently, only physicians may perform these exams.

House PCS 228

Page 4

- Failure to comply with a Board order for undergoing physical or mental examination would be grounds for discipline.
- Failure to comply with a Board order to demonstrate professional qualifications would be grounds for discipline.
- A violation of any provision of Article 1 of Chapter 90 or failure to make any required reports would be grounds for discipline. Currently, these acts are not grounds for discipline.
- A felony conviction of rape or another sexual offense would result in automatic denial or revocation of a license. Revocation would be permanent, with no chance of reinstatement. Licenses revoked on any other grounds would be eligible for reinstatement in two years.

Section 27 would allow appeals of decisions not to issue a license to be filed in Superior Court of the county where the Board is located. Currently, those appeals must be filed in Wake County Superior Court.

Section 28 would remove the requirement in G.S. 90-14.2 that notice of discipline must be prepared by a committee of the Board.

Section 29 would permit the Board to use an Administrative Law Judge in compliance with the Administrative Procedure Act in any cases against current or former Board members.

Section 30 would allow sworn depositions to be used as evidence in Board hearings. The Board would be permitted to receive testimony via telephone and videoconference at its discretion. Currently deposition, telephone, and videoconference testimony is not permitted in Board hearings.

Section 31 would allow appeals of disciplinary action taken by the Board to be filed in Superior Court of the county where the Board is located. Currently, those appeals must be filed in Wake County Superior Court or the county in which the licensee resides.

Section 32 would amend G.S. 90-14.13 to change the malpractice reporting requirements. Under the new provisions, hospitals would have to report resignations that took place when the individual resigning was under investigation. In addition, licensees who do not have malpractice insurance provided by a North Carolina insurer would have to report damage awards and settlements within 30 days.

Section 33 would remove the requirement that the Board include names of applicants for license in meeting minutes. It would also correct a statutory citation and would clarify that the Board may report information about a potential crime to the North Carolina Department of Justice, the U.S. Department of Justice, and the U.S. Attorney in addition to other law enforcement agencies and the district attorney.

Section 34 would amend G.S. 90-18(c), which regulates the scope of practice of medicine. Under the new provisions, osteopathy and radiology (using radiant energy or radiation to treat illness) would be considered to be within the scope of practice of medicine. Under the current language of the statute, osteopathy is not considered to be the practice of medicine. This provision has been obsolete since 2009 when osteopaths became subject to the same licensure process as physicians. An out-of-state physician who communicates, using any means of communication, with one of the physician's regular patients who is temporarily in North Carolina, would not be practicing medicine. Currently, out-of-state physicians communicating with their patients who are temporarily in North Carolina are practicing medicine in North Carolina unless they use a toll-free phone number or the internet.

Section 35 would remove the requirement that prescriptions written by physician assistants include an identification number assigned by the Board. It would also remove the requirement that a hospital's policy regarding the tests and treatments that can be ordered by a physician assistant be approved in consultation with the nursing staff.

House PCS 228

Page 5

Section 36 would remove the requirement that prescriptions written by nurse practitioners include an identification number assigned by the Board.

Section 37 would allow State-required medical and physical examinations to be conducted by physician assistants and nurse practitioners, even if the statute or rule requires physicians to conduct the exam. Under current law, only physicians may conduct these exams unless the statute or rule specifically allows physician assistants or nurse practitioners to conduct them.

Section 38 would repeal G.S. 90-18.7, which requires the Board to establish rules for the disposal of pathological materials. In 2013, a law was passed giving DHHS this authority, so G.S. 90-18.7 is obsolete.

Section 39 would allow an anesthesiologist and any combination of a physician assistant, anesthesiologist assistant, and a certified nurse anesthetist to form a professional corporation. Currently, only an anesthesiologist and a certified nurse anesthetist may form a professional corporation.

Section 40 would allow a former physician member of the Medical Board to serve on the Emergency Medical Services Disciplinary Committee.

Section 41 would amend G.S. 8-53 to extend doctor-patient confidentiality to anyone licensed under Article 1 of Chapter 90.

Section 42 would allow physicians to charge reasonable fees for copying records and would clarify that medical records related to workers' compensation claims would continue to be subject to the fees established by the Industrial Commission. Currently, fees may only be charged for copying records for personal injury and social security disability claims.

Section 43 would create a new crime of sexual contact or penetration under pretext of medical treatment. This crime would be a Class C felony, unless the conduct was covered under another provision of law requiring a greater penalty.

Section 44 would allow death certificates to be completed by any physician, physician assistant, or nurse practitioner who took reasonable efforts to determine the patient's cause of death. A physician, physician assistant, or nurse practitioner who completed a death certificate in good faith would be immune from civil liability. Under current law, only a treating physician, physician assistant, or nurse practitioner in charge of the patient's care at the time of death, chief medical officer of the hospital or facility in which the death occurred, or a physician performing an autopsy may complete a death certificate.

Section 45 would remove the Board's authority to set fees by regulation and maintains fees set by regulation as of June 1, 2019.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Section 2.(a) of the act would become effective October 31, 2019. Section 43 of the act would become effective December 1, 2019, and apply to offenses committed on or after that date. The remainder of the act would become effective October 1, 2019.

Brad Krehely and Jason Moran-Bates, both with the Legislative Analysis Division, contributed substantially to this summary.