



This Bill Analysis reflects the contents of the bill as it was presented in committee.

HOUSE BILL 467: Agriculture and Forestry Nuisance Remedies.

2017-2018 General Assembly

Committee:	Senate Agriculture/Environment/Natural Resources	Date:	April 25, 2017
Introduced by:	Reps. Dixon, Davis, Lewis, J. Bell	Prepared by:	Jennifer McGinnis
Analysis of:	Second Edition		Committee Counsel

OVERVIEW: House Bill 467 would limit the amount of compensatory damages that may be awarded in a private nuisance action against an agricultural or forestry operation to the fair market value or fair rental value of the plaintiff's property.

CURRENT LAW: Nuisance law in North Carolina is governed by the common law. Private nuisance is defined as any unreasonable invasion of a person's interest in the private use and enjoyment of his or her land by any type of liability-forming conduct. Determining whether an interference is unreasonable is largely fact-specific and determined by the circumstances in each case."¹

G.S. 106-701 provides a "come-to-the-nuisance" defense against nuisance lawsuits for agricultural and forestry operations that have been in operation for more than one year. However, the operation can become a nuisance if: operated negligently/improperly or if the operation has had a fundamental change.

An agricultural operation is: "any facility for the production for commercial purposes of crops, livestock, poultry, livestock products, or poultry products." G.S. 106-701(b). A forestry operation includes "those activities involved in the growing, managing, and harvesting of trees." G.S. 106-701(b1).

BILL ANALYSIS: House Bill 467 would provide that in a private nuisance action where the alleged nuisance emanated from an agricultural or forestry operation, the compensatory damages that may be awarded to the plaintiff are:

- If the nuisance is a permanent nuisance, the reduction in the fair market value of the plaintiff's property caused by the nuisance, but not to exceed the fair market value of the property.
- If the nuisance is a temporary nuisance, the diminution in the fair rental value of the plaintiff's property caused by the nuisance.

If the plaintiff or the plaintiff's successor in interest brings a subsequent action against an agricultural or forestry operation, including a different defendant than in the plaintiff's initial action, the combined recovery from all nuisance actions may not exceed the fair market value of the plaintiff's property.

The bill would also provide that this Article applies to any private nuisance claim brought against any party based on that party's contractual or business relationship with an agricultural or forestry operation.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This bill would be effective when it becomes law, and would apply to causes of action arising on or after that date. The bill would not affect pending litigation.

¹ Pake v. Morris, 230 N.C. 424, 53 S.E.2d 300 (1949).

Karen Cochrane-Brown
Director



Legislative Analysis
Division
919-733-2578

House Bill 467

Page 2

*Chris Saunders, former Staff Attorney, and Brad Krehely, Staff Attorney, for the Legislative Analysis Division, contributed substantially to this summary.