

SENATE BILL 456: Charter School Modifications

2015-2016 General Assembly

| Committee: | | Date: | April 30, 2015 |
|----------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Introduced by: | Sen. Tillman | Prepared by: | Drupti Chauhan |
| Analysis of: | Third Edition | | Committee Counsel |

SUMMARY: Senate Bill 456 makes various changes to the charter school laws.

SECTION 1

CURRENT LAW: The Charter School Advisory Board (Advisory Board) must make recommendations to the State Board of Education (SBE) SBE on the adoption of rules regarding all aspects of charters schools.

BILL ANALYSIS: The bill would direct the SBE to submit all proposed rules and guidance related to charter schools to the Advisory Board which must, within 45 days, give written comments and recommendations back to the SBE. The SBE is prohibited from adopting any proposed rules or guidance related to charter schools until the 45 day time period has passed.

SECTION 2

BILL ANALYSIS: The board of directors of a charter school may include members who are not residents of the State, but the SBE may require by rule that a majority of the board of directors must reside in the State.

SECTION 3

CURRENT LAW: Charter schools must serve at least 65 students unless the application for the charter contains a compelling reason such as the school would serve a geographically remote and small student population.

BILL ANALYSIS: Charter schools would have to serve at least 80 students unless the application for the charter contains a compelling reason such as the school would serve a geographically remote and small student population.

SECTION 3.5

BILL ANALYSIS: The SBE must evaluate charter applications on the content and substance of the applications and format issues should not impact the substantive review of the application. The SBE and the Advisory Board must give timely notification to an applicant for any formatting issues or incomplete information regarding the application and give the applicant 5 business days to make corrections. The Advisory Board must also allow applicants 10 minutes to address the Advisory Board before any final vote on the application.

SECTION 4

CURRENT LAW: In renewing charter applications, the SBE is encouraged to give preference to applications that show the capability to provide comprehensive learning experiences to students identified by the applicants as at risk of academic failure.

O. Walker Reagan Director



Research Division (919) 733-2578

This bill analysis was prepared by the nonpartisan legislative staff for the use of legislators in their deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.

Senate Bill 456

Page 2

The SBE may grant an initial charter for a period of not more than 10 years. The SBE may renew the charter for 10 years if requested but the renewal may be for less than 10 years if any one of the following applies:

- The charter school has not provided financially sound audits for the prior 3 years.
- The charter school's student academic outcomes for the past 3 years have not been comparable to the academic outcomes of students in the local school administrative unit in which the charter school is located.
- The charter school is not in compliance with State law, federal law, the school's own bylaws, or the provisions set forth in its charter granted by the State Board of Education.

Enrollment growth of greater than 20% is considered a material revision and must be approved by the SBE. The SBE may approve this enrollment growth only if all of the following are found by the SBE:

- The actual enrollment of the charter school is within 10% of its maximum authorized enrollment.
- The charter school has commitments for 90% of the requested maximum growth.
- The charter school is not currently identified as low-performing.
- The charter school meets generally accepted standards of fiscal management.
- It is otherwise appropriate to approve the enrollment growth.

Prior approval of the SBE is not required for a charter to increase its enrollment during its second year of operation and annually thereafter by up to 20% of the school's previous year's enrollment as this is not considered a material revision.

It is not a material revision of a charter application and no SBE approval is needed for a charter to expand to offer one grade higher than it currently offers if the charter has operated for at least 3 years and has not been identified as having inadequate performance.

BILL ANALYSIS: In reviewing applications the SBE must also give equal consideration to all applications that were previously denied for being incomplete.

The SBE must renew the charter for 10 years if requested unless one of the current criteria set forth in the law apply with the following modification:

• The charter school is not, <u>at the time of the application for renewal</u>, in compliance with State law, federal law, the school's own bylaws, or the provisions set forth in its charter granted by the State Board of Education.

The SBE must grant approval for a material revision of a charter for a charter school to increase its enrollment during its second year of operation and annually thereafter by up to 20% of the school's previous year's enrollment unless one of the following applies:

- The charter school has been notified by the SBE in the previous year on its failure to meet requirements on student performance contained in the charter or failure to meet generally accepted standards of fiscal management.
- The charter school is not in compliance with State law, federal law, the school's own bylaws, or the provisions in its charter.

Senate Bill 456

Page 3

It is not a material revision of a charter application and no SBE approval is needed for a charter to expand to offer one grade higher than it currently offers if the charter has operated for at least 3 years, has not been identified as having inadequate performance, and <u>has been in financial compliance as</u> required by the SBE.

SECTION 5(a)

CURRENT LAW: Under Policy TCS-U-006, the SBE requires charter schools to have policies that employee of the charter school cannot be immediate family to any member of the board of directors. "Immediate family" is defined as it is in G.S. 115C-12.2 which states immediate family means "spouse, parent, child, brother, sister, grandparent, or grandchild. The term includes the step, half, and in-law relationships".

BILL ANALYSIS: Section 5(a) would require the board of directors of a charter school to adopt a conflict and anti-nepotism policy that includes the requirements of Chapter 55A of the General Statutes which relates to general conflicts of interest and a provision that requires that before an immediate family member of a member of the board of directors or supervising employee is hired or engaged as an independent contractor, the information must be disclosed to the board of directors and approved in an open session meeting. The burden of any disclosures of such conflicts is on the board member or current supervising employee.

SECTION 5(b)

BILL ANALYSIS: Section 5(b) would require local boards of education to adopt policies that require that before immediate family members (as defined in G.S. 115C-12.2) of members of a local board or central office administrators, are hired or engaged as an independent contractor, the information must be disclosed to the local board and approved in an open session meeting. The burden of any disclosures of such conflicts is on the board member or administrator.

SECTION 6

CURRENT LAW: The Uniform Budget Format allows local school administrative units (LEAs) to have other funds to account for reimbursements, fees for actual costs, tuition, sales tax revenues distributed through ad valorem taxes, sales tax refunds, gifts and grants restricted as to use, trust funds, federal appropriations made to LEAs and funds for prekindgarten programs.

BILL ANALYSIS: The PCS for SB 546 would prohibit LEAs from using other funds to account for sales tax revenues distributed through ad valorem taxes, sales tax refunds, gifts and grants restricted as to use and trust funds. LEAs would be authorized to use other funds when necessary to comply with a requirement by a donor of a gift or grant that the LEA use a separate fund to account for those funds.

SECTION 7

CURRENT LAW: State Board of Education Policy TCS-U-016 (Fast Track Replication of High Quality Charter Schools) creates a process for nonprofits currently holding charters in North Carolina to apply for additional charters replicating the existing school (or schools) and its successful educational model. A majority of the members comprising the nonprofit board must be North Carolina residents. The nonprofit must demonstrate that the current school has a consistent track record of success both financially and academically, including the following in the three years preceding the application:

Senate Bill 456

Page 4

- The existing charter must have student proficiency exceeding the average proficiency of the State and District for grade levels offered by the charter school that have an End-of-Grade or End-of-Course test.
- If the existing charters targets at-risk, high-needs students, the student proficiency must exceed the District and State performance on subgroup targets for Exceptional Children, Economically Disadvantaged, and Limited English Proficient students or those subgroups represented by the school.
- The charter school must meet student growth consistent with State formulas.
- The charter school must have unqualified audits without fiscal compliance issues.
- The charter school must not have had any warning letters issued by the Department of Public Instruction.

BILL ANALYSIS: By September 15, 2015, the SBE must amend the process and rules for the policy addressing the replication of high-quality charter schools upon written recommendation of the Advisory Board. The process and rules must authorize consideration for fast track replication of a charter application from a board of directors of a North Carolina nonprofit corporation which agrees to contract with an education management organization or charter management organization currently operating in the State regardless of whether that board of directors has previously contracted with that education management organization or charter management organization. The SBE must report to the Joint Legislative Education Oversight Committee by November 15, 2015 on the amendment process and rules for charter replication that is required by this section of the PCS.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The bill would become effective when it becomes law and sections 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 of the bill apply beginning with the 2015-2015 school year.