



SENATE BILL 20: IRC Update/Motor Fuel Tax Changes

2015-2016 General Assembly

Committee:	House Appropriations	Date:	March 3, 2015
Introduced by:	Sens. Rabon, Rucho, Tillman	Prepared by:	Trina Griffin
Analysis of:	Fourth Edition		Staff Attorney

SUMMARY: *The 4th Edition of Senate Bill 20 consists of two Parts. The first Part updates from December 31, 2013, to January 1, 2015, the reference to the Internal Revenue Code used in determining certain State tax provisions. The bill conforms to the \$250 teacher expense deduction and to the income exclusion for debt forgiveness on a principal residence,¹ but it decouples from the following extensions under the federal Tax Increase Prevention Act of 2014 for the 2014 tax year:*

- *Enhanced Section 179 expensing*
- *Deduction for mortgage insurance premiums*
- *Deduction for higher education tuition expenses*
- *Tax-free distribution from IRAs to public charities*

The second Part makes the following changes to the motor fuels tax:

- *Reduces the motor fuels tax rate from 37.5 cents to 36 cents beginning April 1, 2015, and until January 1, 2016. The bill, as passed by the Senate, reduces the rate to 35 cents beginning March 1, 2015, and until January 1, 2016.²*
- *Replaces the two 6-month base periods used in determining the gas tax rate with a single 12-month base period, beginning January 1, 2016. The Senate version contained the same provision, but it would have become effective March 1, 2015.*
- *Makes \$3.35 million and \$10.1 million reductions in the Highway Trust Fund and Highway Fund budgets for the 2014-2015 fiscal year. The Senate version had a total cut of approximately \$33 million.*

PART I: IRC UPDATE

CURRENT LAW: North Carolina's tax law tracks many provisions of the federal Internal Revenue Code by reference to the Code.³ The General Assembly determines each year whether to update its reference to the Code.⁴ Updating the reference makes recent amendments to the Code applicable to the

¹ The Senate version decoupled from this provision.

² The Senate version also changed the variable component of the formula for determining the rate, beginning January 1, 2016, to the greater of 17 ½ cpg or 9.9% of the average wholesale price of motor fuel.

³North Carolina first began referencing the Internal Revenue Code in 1967, the year it changed its taxation of corporate income to a percentage of federal taxable income.

⁴The North Carolina Constitution imposes an obstacle to a statute that automatically adopts any changes in federal tax law. Article V, Section 2(1) of the Constitution provides in pertinent part that the “power of taxation ... shall never be surrendered, suspended, or contracted away.” Relying on this provision, the North Carolina court decisions on delegation of legislative power to administrative agencies, and an analysis of the few federal cases on this issue, the Attorney General’s Office concluded in a memorandum issued in 1977 to the Director of the Tax Research Division of the Department of Revenue that a “statute which adopts by reference future amendments to the Internal Revenue Code would ... be invalidated as an unconstitutional delegation of legislative power.”



Senate Bill 20

Page 2

State to the extent that State law previously tracked federal law. The General Assembly's decision whether to conform to federal changes is based on the fiscal, practical, and policy implications of the federal changes and is normally enacted in the following year, rather than in the same year the federal changes are made. Maintaining conformity with federal tax law simplifies tax reporting because a taxpayer will not need to account for differing federal and State treatment of the same asset. The current reference to the Code is December 31, 2013.

BACKGROUND: On December 19, 2014, the Tax Increase Prevention Act of 2014 (TIPA) was signed into law⁵ and extended several provisions that were enacted last year in the American Taxpayer Relief Act (ATRA). ATRA was intended to avert the anticipated "fiscal cliff" due to the sunset provisions scheduled to take effect in 2013 that would have ended the Bush-era tax cuts contained in the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 (EGTRRA) and the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003 (JGTRRA), which were temporarily extended by the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (2010 Tax Relief Act).

ANALYSIS:

UPDATE IRC REFERENCE DATE

Section 1.1 of the bill would update the reference to the Code from December 31, 2013, to January 1, 2015.

COUPLED PROVISIONS

By updating the reference to the Code, North Carolina would conform to various provisions, including the following:

Teachers' Classroom Expense Deduction

This bill would result in conformity with the extension of the federal teachers' classroom expense deduction for tax year 2014.

Explained. – This deduction allows primary and secondary education professionals to take an above-the-line deduction for qualified expenses up to \$250 paid out-of-pocket during the year.

Federal Background. – This deduction was established under EGTRRA in 2001 (beginning with tax year 2002) and was scheduled to expire in 2006. It was subsequently extended through 2013. TIPA extended the deduction for one more year.

North Carolina Background. – Prior to 2012, teachers in North Carolina were allowed the deduction at the State level because North Carolina began its calculation of taxable income with federal AGI. In 2012, North Carolina enacted a stand-alone individual income tax deduction for this purpose. The stand-alone provision was enacted because, at the time, the federal deduction was set to expire and Congress had not yet acted to extend it. However, this deduction was repealed as part of the Tax Simplification and Reduction Act of 2013 (HB 998), effective for tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2014. Because Congress has extended the deduction for tax year 2014, the update of the IRC reference in this bill would mean that teachers will continue to be able to take advantage of this deduction.

⁵ P.L. 113-295.

Senate Bill 20

Page 3

Income Exclusion for Discharge of Qualified Principal Residence Indebtedness

The PCS conforms to the extension of the income exclusion for the discharge of qualified principal residence indebtedness. The cost to conform to this provision is approximately \$14 million.

Explained. – Taxpayers are generally required to recognize income from the discharge of indebtedness. An exception from this rule is for the discharge of qualified principal residence indebtedness, which has been excludible from gross income on a temporary basis since 2007.⁶ The exclusion is limited to \$2 million, and applies to indebtedness incurred in the acquisition, construction, or substantial improvement of a principal residence and secured by the residence.

Federal Background. – This exclusion was scheduled to expire for debt discharged after December 31, 2013, but was extended for one year under TIPA.

North Carolina Background. – North Carolina conformed to this provision from 2007 through 2012, but decoupled for the first time for tax year 2013. The bill, as passed by the Senate, decoupled from this provision.

DECOUPLED PROVISIONS⁷

Section 179 Expensing

Section 1.2 of the act does not conform to the one-year extension of the enhanced section 179 expensing provision. For tax year 2014, the deduction and investment limits are \$25,000 and \$200,000, which are what the limits would have been at the federal level if TIPA had not been enacted. However, it does conform to the definition of qualifying Section 179 property.

The act further provides that the property's basis will be the same for federal and State purposes and treats the difference in the same manner as State tax law has historically treated the bonus depreciation: A taxpayer must add back 85% of the additional expensing taken under federal law in 2014 and then deduct 20% of this amount over the succeeding five years. Full conformity to the section 179 expense deduction would have been \$52 million.

Explained. – Section 179 of the Code allows taxpayers to immediately deduct, rather than gradually depreciate, the cost of qualified assets, subject to certain limitations.⁸ Use of the allowance has two components: a dollar limitation and an investment limitation. The dollar limitation is the maximum amount of the deduction that the taxpayer may elect to take. The investment limitation is the maximum amount that can be spent on equipment before the deduction begins to be reduced. The deduction is reduced, dollar for dollar, by the amount that exceeds the investment limitation. Prior to 2010, section 179 was commonly thought to apply to small businesses because of its maximum deduction and investment limits.⁹ However, the enhancements made by the Small Business Jobs Act

⁶ This exclusion was originally authorized in the Mortgage Debt Relief Act of 2007.

⁷ Since 2002, North Carolina has decoupled from the federal bonus depreciation provisions. Under the Tax Simplification and Reduction Act⁷, North Carolina permanently decoupled from this provision, which means that the General Assembly does not have to take any action to decouple from this provision to the extent Congress continues to extend it. Therefore, although Congress extended the 50% bonus depreciation provision for one more year under TIPA, North Carolina does not conform to this extension. For taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2014, a taxpayer is required to add back 85% of the accelerated depreciation amount in the year it is claimed for federal purposes with a corresponding 20% deduction over the next five years. The taxpayer will be deducting the same amount of an asset's basis under State law as under federal law, it is just that the timing of the deduction differs.

⁸ Generally, taxpayers take the Section 179 expensing deduction first and claim bonus depreciation on any remaining basis.

⁹ Prior to the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (EESA), deduction limit was \$125,000 with a phase-out beginning at \$500,000.

Senate Bill 20

Page 4

of 2010 (2010 Jobs Act) were the most expansive ever enacted and those limits were extended under ATRA and TIPA.

Federal Background. – Since 2010, the deduction limitation has been \$500,000 and the investment limitation has been \$2 million. Without the recent extensions, the limits would have reverted to the prior levels of \$25,000 and \$200,000.

North Carolina Background. – Prior to 2010, North Carolina typically conformed to the enhanced section 179 expense deduction provisions. However, given the expansive nature of the enhancements made by the 2010 Jobs Act, which have been extended over the last several years, North Carolina has decoupled and adopted lower limits since 2010.¹⁰

Income Exclusion for Distributions from IRAs to Charity

This bill does not conform to the extension of the income exclusion for a qualified charitable distribution from an individual retirement plan by a person who has attained the age of 70½ for tax year 2014. The treatment is capped at a maximum of \$100,000 per taxpayer. However, a taxpayer who itemizes and who elected to take the income exclusion would be able to deduct the amount that would have been allowed as a charitable deduction under the Code had the taxpayer not elected to take the income exclusion.

Explained. – Generally, a taxpayer must include in gross income distributions made from a traditional or Roth IRA account except to the extent they represent a return of nondeductible contributions or are rolled over into another qualified retirement plan.

Federal Background. – Since 2006,¹¹ taxpayers age 70½ or older may contribute up to \$100,000 from their IRA account to a charity tax-free. This income exclusion was set to expire for distributions made in tax years beginning after December 31, 2013. TIPA extends the availability of this exclusion for one year.

North Carolina Background. – North Carolina conformed to this provision for 2006 through 2012, but decoupled for 2013.

Deduction for Mortgage Insurance Premiums as Interest

Section 1.3 of the act does not conform to the extension of the deduction for mortgage insurance premiums as interest for tax year 2014. Therefore, taxpayers may not include any amount for mortgage insurance premiums in their deduction for qualified residence interest. The cost to conform to this provision would be approximately \$4 million.

Explained. – Generally, taxpayers may not deduct any interest paid or accrued during the tax year that is considered personal interest. This restriction does not apply to certain types of interest, including qualified residence interest. Qualified residence interest includes interest on home acquisition indebtedness of up to \$1 million and interest on home equity indebtedness of up to \$100,000. In the case of a home acquisition loan, an individual who cannot pay the entire down payment amount may be required to purchase mortgage insurance.

Federal Background. – Since 2006, premiums paid for qualified mortgage insurance in connection with acquisition indebtedness for a qualified residence are treated as qualified residence interest and

¹⁰ North Carolina's dollar and investment limitations were \$250,000 and \$800,000, respectively, for taxable years 2010 through 2012. The dollar and investment limitations for 2013 were \$25,000 and \$200,000, respectively.

¹¹ This exclusion was originally authorized by the Pension Protection Act of 2006. The law was extended through 2009 by the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, and through 2011, by the 2010 Tax Relief Act.

Senate Bill 20

Page 5

are deductible.¹² The treatment of qualified mortgage insurance as qualified residence interest was set to expire for amounts paid or accrued after December 31, 2013. TIPA extends the availability of the deduction for one year.

North Carolina Background. – North Carolina conformed to this provision from 2006 through 2012, but decoupled for the first time for tax year 2013.

Higher Education Deduction

Section 1.3 of the act does not conform to the extension of the federal qualified tuition and expenses deduction for tax year 2014. The cost to conform to this provision would be approximately \$1 million.

Explained. – Subject to income limitations, a taxpayer may take an above-the-line deduction for qualified education expenses paid during the year for the taxpayer or the taxpayer's spouse or dependents. Generally, any accredited public, nonprofit, or proprietary post-secondary institution is an eligible educational institution. The maximum deduction is \$4,000 for an individual whose adjusted gross income for the tax year does not exceed \$65,000 (\$130,000 for MFJ filers), or \$2,000 for other individuals whose adjusted gross income does not exceed \$80,000 (\$160,000 for MFJ filers).

Federal Background. – This deduction was established under EGTRRA and was scheduled to expire in 2006. It was subsequently extended through 2013. TIPA extended the deduction for one more year.

North Carolina Background. – North Carolina had conformed to this provision until last year when it decoupled for the 2013 taxable year.

PART II: MOTOR FUEL TAX CHANGES

The second part of the bill would reduce the motor fuels tax rate from 37.5 cents to 36 cents beginning April 1, 2015, through January 1, 2016, it would replace the two 6-month base periods used in determining the gas tax rate with a single 12-month base period beginning January 1, 2016, and it would make corresponding \$3.35 million and \$10.05 million reductions in the Highway Trust Fund and Highway Fund budgets for the 2014-2015 fiscal year.

CURRENT LAW:

Rate. – A motor fuel¹³ excise tax is imposed on all motor fuels sold, distributed, or used in the State. The rate of tax consists of a flat rate of 17.5¢ per gallon plus a variable wholesale component equal to the greater of 7% of the average wholesale price of motor fuel during a base six-month base period or 3.5¢ per gallon.

The current motor fuel tax rate is 37.5¢ per gallon. Section 8 of S.L. 2013-316 capped the tax at this rate for the period October 1, 2013, through June 30, 2015. The current rates in surrounding states are: South Carolina -16¢ per gallon; Virginia - 19.88¢ per gallon, and Tennessee - 20¢ per gallon.

Base Period. – The two base periods are six-month periods. For the base period ending on September 30, the tax rate applies to the six-month period that begins the following January 1. For the base period ending on March 31, the tax rate applies to the six-month period that begins the following July 1.

¹² The deduction is subject to a phaseout. For every \$1,000, or fraction thereof, by which the taxpayer's AGI exceeds \$100,000, the amount of mortgage insurance premiums treated as interest is reduced by 10%.

¹³ Motor fuel is defined as gasoline, diesel fuel, and blended fuel (G.S. 105-449.60(31)).

Senate Bill 20

Page 6

Distribution of Revenue. – The revenue generated by the motor fuel tax is distributed as follows¹⁴: One-half cent of the excise tax on each gallon of gas is distributed to funds for underground tank storage cleanup water and air quality. The remaining excise tax revenue is allocated as follows:

- 75% to the Highway Fund and used for maintenance, transit, rail, State Highway Patrol, DMV, some secondary road improvement, Powell Bill distribution to local governments, and some other administrative needs. G.S. 105-449.126 credits 1/6 of 1% of this amount annually to the Wildlife Resources Fund to be used for the boating and water safety activities described in G.S. 75A-3(c).
- 25% to the Highway Trust Fund and used for construction of the intrastate system, some secondary road improvement, and Powell Bill distribution to local governments.

BILL ANALYSIS:

Section 2.1 of the bill would reduce the motor fuels tax by 1.5¢ per gallon beginning April 1, 2015. This rate would remain in place until January 1, 2016. Under current law, the gas tax rate will be reset on July 1, 2015, and is projected to drop approximately 6 to 8 cents.

Section 2.2 of the bill would change the period upon which the motor fuels tax rate is determined. Under current law, the rate is set twice a year. A rate goes into effect on January 1 based on the prior six-month period from April to September, and also on July 1 based on the prior six-month period from October to March. Under the bill, the rate would be determined once a year, using a 12-month base period that runs from October 1 to September 30, with a new rate going into effect on the following January 1.

Section 2.3 is a conforming change regarding the shift from two six-month base periods to one 12-month base period.

Section 2.4 of the bill reflects reductions in the Highway Trust Fund and Highway Fund budgets for FY 14-15 to balance the reduction in revenues derived from the tax. The reductions would include the following:

- ***Reductions to the Highway Trust Fund:***
 - A general cut of \$3.35 million to the strategic funding plan.
- ***Reductions to the Highway Fund:***
 - A 1 2/5% across-the-board cut from within the Highway Fund, but excluding maintenance funds, transfers, and certain other accounts, which amounts to \$5.9 million.
 - A 1/2% cut to three maintenance funds from within the Highway Fund amounting to \$2.38 million: primary maintenance, secondary maintenance, and to pavement preservation funds.
 - A reduction of \$1,045,200 in Powell Bill funds.
 - A reduction of \$16,750 credited to the Wildlife Resources Fund.
 - A reduction of \$16,750 credited to the Shallow Draft Navigation Channel and Lake Dredging Fund.
 - The elimination of at least 40 vacant positions within the Department of Transportation.¹⁵

¹⁴ G.S. 105-449.125.

¹⁵ The version passed by the Senate would have also required a reduction-in-force of 500 filled, full-time positions within the Department of Transportation by March 1, 2015. The PCS presented to the House Finance Committee moved the date of this RIF to September 1, 2015, and required that the average salary and benefits of the positions eliminated be no less than \$50,000. This provision was deleted by an amendment adopted in committee on March 3, 2015.